

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,088	10/03/2005	Marc Fleury	612.44794X00 2809	
	10/527,088 10/03/2005 Marc Fleury 612.44  20457 7590 05/17/2007  ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800  ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873  ART  MAIL	EXAM	EXAMINER	
			VARGAS, DIXOMARA	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AREHVOTON, VII 22203 3073			2859	
,				
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/527,088	FLEURY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dixomara Vargas	2859			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr , cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Fe	ebruary 2007.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-41</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 21-41 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers	· -				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
	The drawing(s) filed on 10 March 2005 is/are: a		d to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>					
	application from the International Bureau		ived in this National Stage			
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ved			
		or the continue depice not recei	veu.			
Attachmen	t(s)		•			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<del></del>			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 21-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claimed invention is directed to a judicial exception to 35 U.S.C. 101 (i.e., an abstract idea) and is not directed to a practical application of such judicial exception (e.g., because the claim does not require any physical transformation and the invention as claimed does not produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result). The language in the claim suggest only a combination of instructions without reciting a structure associated to the procedure and lacks a tangible result and the end of the procedure.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 21-23 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baldwin (US 5,162,733 A).

Art Unit: 2859

With respect to claim 21, Baldwin discloses a method for measuring the wettability of a porous rock sample in the presence of water and oil, comprising determining a water wet pore surface of the sample and an oil wet pore surface of the sample when the sample is saturated with water and oil, and calculating the wettability index from a combination of the water wet pore surface and the oil wet pore surface (Abstract, Columns 2 and 9, lines 47-60 and 10-30 respectively).

- 5. With respect to claim 22, Baldwin discloses the step wherein the water wet pore surface and of the oil wet pore surface is determined when the sample is saturated with water and oil from measurements (Column 2, lines 47-60) of relaxation times obtained from the surfaces of the sample placed in a nuclear magnetic resonance device (Column 4, lines 27-45).
- 6. With respect to claim 23, Baldwin discloses the step wherein the wettability index is

obtained by the relation:  $I_{NMR} = \frac{SM_w - SM_o}{SM_w + SM_o}$  where  $SM_w$  is the water wet pore surface and  $SM_0$  is the oil wet pore surface when the porous rock sample is saturated with water and oil (Column 9, lines 1-30).

7. With respect to claim 30, Baldwin discloses the step wherein the wettability index is

obtained by the relation:  $I_{NMR} = \frac{SM_w - SM_o}{SM_w + SM_o}$  where  $SM_w$  is the water wet pore surface and  $SM_0$  is the oil wet pore surface when the porous rock sample is saturated with water and oil (Column 9, lines 1-30).

Art Unit: 2859

### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 24-29 and 31-41 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 101, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 9. Claims 24-29 and 31-41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
  - a. With respect to claim 24, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a method for measuring a wettability of a porous rock sample in a presence of water and oil comprising the step wherein the wettability index is obtained by the relation:
  - $I_{NMR} = \log_{10} \frac{SM_{w}}{SM_{o}}$  where  $SM_{w}$  is the water wet pore surface and  $SM_{0}$  is the oil wet pore surface when the porous rock sample is saturated with water and oil in combination with the remaining limitations of the claim.
  - b. With respect to claim 25, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a method for measuring a wettability of a porous rock sample in a presence of water and oil comprising the step wherein the wettability index is determined by the following operations:
    - a) measuring the relaxation times of the water-saturated sample;

Art Unit: 2859

- b) measuring the relaxation times of the sample in the presence of oil and water, in a zone close to saturation of the sample;
- c) measuring the relaxation times of the water in the sample in the presence of oil, in a zone close to residual saturation;
- d) measuring the relaxation times of the sample in a state where its 100% oil saturation point is reached; and
- e) combining the measurements of the relaxation times obtained from a)-d) so as to obtain the wettability index in combination with eth remaining limitations of the claims 21 and 22 above.
  - c. With respect to claims 26, 27, 29, 37, 38 and 39, the claims have been found allowable due to its dependency on claim 25 above.
  - d. With respect to claim 28, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a method for measuring a wettability of a porous rock sample in a presence of water and oil comprising the step wherein the oil has an intrinsic relaxation time as great as possible and as close as possible to that of the water is selected in combination with the remaining limitations of the claim 21 above.
  - e. With respect to claim 31, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a method for measuring a wettability of a porous rock sample in a presence of water and oil comprising the step wherein the wettability index is obtained by the relation:

 $I_{NMR} = \log_{10} \frac{SM_{\odot}}{SM_{\odot}}$  where SM<sub>w</sub> is the water wet pore surface and SM<sub>0</sub> is the oil wet pore

Application/Control Number: 10/527,088

Art Unit: 2859

surface when the porous rock sample is saturated with water and oil in combination with the remaining limitations of the claims 21 and 22 above.

Page 6

- f. With respect to claim 32, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a method for measuring a wettability of a porous rock sample in a presence of water and oil comprising the step wherein the wettability index is determined by the following operations:
  - a) measuring the relaxation times of the water-saturated sample;
- b) measuring the relaxation times of the sample in the presence of oil and water, in a zone approaching saturation of the sample;
- c) measuring the relaxation times of the water in the sample in the presence of oil, in a zone approaching residual saturation;
- d) measuring the relaxation times of the sample in a state where its 100% oil saturation point is reached; and
- e) combining the measurements of the relaxation times obtained from a)-d) so as to obtain the wettability index in combination with eth remaining limitations of the claims 21 and 23 above.
  - g. With respect to claim 33, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a method for measuring a wettability of a porous rock sample in a presence of water and oil comprising the step wherein the wettability index is determined by the following operations:

Page 7

a) measuring the relaxation times of the water-saturated sample;

- b) measuring the relaxation times of the sample in the presence of oil and water, in a zone approaching saturation of the sample;
- c) measuring the relaxation times of the water in the sample in the presence of oil, in a zone approaching residual saturation;
- d) measuring the relaxation times of the sample in a state where its 100% oil saturation point is reached; and
- e) combining the measurements of the relaxation times obtained from a)-d) so as to obtain the wettability index in combination with eth remaining limitations of the claims 21 and 24 above.
  - h. With respect to claim 34, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a method for measuring a wettability of a porous rock sample in a presence of water and oil comprising the step wherein the oil has an intrinsic relaxation time as great as possible and as close as possible to that of the water is selected in combination with the remaining limitations of the claims 21 and 22 above.
  - i. With respect to claim 35, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a method for measuring a wettability of a porous rock sample in a presence of water and oil comprising the step wherein the oil has an intrinsic relaxation time as great as possible and as close as possible to that of the water is selected in combination with the remaining limitations of the claims 21 and 23 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/527,088

Art Unit: 2859

j. With respect to claim 36, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a method for measuring a wettability of a porous rock sample in a presence of water and oil comprising the step wherein the oil has an intrinsic relaxation time as great as possible and as close as possible to that of the water is selected in combination with the remaining limitations of the claims 21 and 24 above.

Page 8

- k. With respect to claim 40, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a method for measuring a wettability of a porous rock sample in a presence of water and oil comprising the step wherein the wettability index is determined by the following operations:
  - a) measuring the relaxation times of the water-saturated sample;
- b) measuring the relaxation times of the sample in the presence of oil and water, in a zone approaching saturation of the sample;
- c) measuring the relaxation times of the water in the sample in the presence of oil, in a zone approaching residual saturation;
- d) measuring the relaxation times of the sample in a state where its 100% oil saturation point is reached; and
- e) combining the measurements of the relaxation times obtained from a)-d) so as to obtain the wettability index in combination with eth remaining limitations of the claims 21, 22 and 30 above.

Art Unit: 2859

1. With respect to claim 41, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a method for measuring a wettability of a porous rock sample in a presence of water and oil comprising the step wherein the wettability index is determined by the following operations:

- a) measuring the relaxation times of the water-saturated sample;
- b) measuring the relaxation times of the sample in the presence of oil and water, in a zone approaching saturation of the sample;
- c) measuring the relaxation times of the water in the sample in the presence of oil, in a zone approaching residual saturation;
- d) measuring the relaxation times of the sample in a state where its 100% oil saturation point is reached; and
- e) combining the measurements of the relaxation times obtained from a)-d) so as to obtain the wettability index in combination with eth remaining limitations of the claims 21, 22 and 31 above.

## Response to Arguments

- 11. Applicant's arguments filed 02/20/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 12. Applicant argues that Baldwin fails to teach or fairly suggest the step of calculating the wettability index from a combination of the water wet pore surface and the oil wet pore surface.

Art Unit: 2859

13. The examiner disagrees with applicant's argument because Baldwin discloses the step wherein the oil wet pore surface represented by equation #6 (Column 8, lines 20-24) and the water wet pore surface represented by equation #9 (Column 8, lines 66-67) are combined to calculate the wettability index or the rock (Column 9, lines 10-30).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dixomara Vargas whose telephone number is (571) 272-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 am. to 4:30 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/527,088

Page 11

Art Unit: 2859

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dixomara Vargas

Patent Examiner Art Unit 2859